



ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాజపత్రము
THE ANDHRA PRADESH GAZETTE
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

W.No.10

AMARAVATI, FRIDAY, MARCH 8, 2019

G.834

PART II - MISCELLANEOUS NOTIFICATIONS OF INTEREST TO THE PUBLIC

--X--

NOTIFICATIONS BY HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS Etc.,

**THE SPECIAL TRIBUNAL UNDER LAND GRABBING ACT
(DISTRICT JUDGE, PRAKASAM) :: ONGOLE.**

L.G.O.P.No. 1/2017

Between:

Gorantla Ramakrishna

S/o Nageswara Rao aged 35 years ,

Working as Police Constable, Govt. Employee,

R/o Barat Colony, Ongole, Prakasam District.

Presently residing at Sreeram Nagar Colony, Srikalahasti, Chittoor District

...Applicant.

And

1. Kokkilagadda Nagamani,
W/o Nageswara Rao, aged 45 years,
Balineni Bharat Colony, Ongole

2. Shaik Jubadar,
W/o Khadar Vali, aged years,
R/o Balineni Bharat Colony, Ongole

3. Gollapothu Subba Rao,
S/o Venkata Subbaiah, aged 50 years,
R/o Balineni Barat Colony, Ongole,
Cell No. 97055924456

4. Ongole Municipal Corporation, Rep. by the Commissioner, Ongole.

....Respondents.

DUPLICATE APPLICATION FILED UNDER SUB-SECTION (1) OF SECTION-7-A OF A.P. LAND GRABBING (ACT). 1982.

1.	Full name of the Applicant	Gorantla Ramakrishna S/o Nageswara Rao aged 35 years Working as Police Constable, Govt. Employee , R/o Barat Colony, Ongole Presently resident of SriKalahasti
2.	Father's/Husband Name :	Nageswara Rao
3.	Address :	Gorantla Ramakrishna S/o Nageswara Rao aged 35 years , Working as Police Constable, Govt. Employee , R/o Barat Colony, Ongole Presently resident of SriKalahasti.
4.	Name(s) of the respondent(s) : with father's / Husband's Name	1. Kokkilagadda Nagamani, W/o Nageswara Rao, 2. Shaik Jubadar, W/o Khadar Vali, 3. Gollapothu Subba Rao, S/o Venkata Subbaiah 4. Ongole Municipal Corporation, Rep. by the Commissioner, Ongole
5.	Address of the respondents(s) :	1 Kokkilagadda Nagamani, W/o Nageswara Rao, aged 45 years, Balineni Bharat Colony, Ongole 2. Shaik Jubadar, W/o Khadar Vali, aged 45 years, R/o Blineni Barat Colony, Ongole 3. Gollapothu Subba Rao, S/o Venkata Subbaiah, aged 50 years, R/o Balineni Bharat Colony, Ongole 4. Ongole Municipal Corporation, Rep. by the Commissioner, Ongole
6.	Name of the Corporation :	Ongole Municipal Corporation
7.	Name of the Mandal :	Ongole Mandal
8.	Name of the District :	Prakasam
9.	Classification of land :	House site
10.	Survey No. and Sub-Registration :	56/1 Ongole Rural, Balineni Bharat colony, Ongole Municipal Corporation Limits

11.	Extent :	45 Gadies 60 feet
12.	Boundaries of S.Nos. of adjacent lands :	East : Road-this side 62 feet South : site of others-this side 55 feet West : Site of Others,- this side 55 feet North : site sold by Patan Tajuddin to others- this side 55 feet
13.	Value of land :	Rs. 21,99,600/-.
14.	Whether there are any houses : or structures on the land; to whom they belong. How they were acquired market value of the land	Applicants schedule property is vacant site and there are no houses or structures belong to the applicant. Applicant's source of title is detailed in sub para (b) of para 15 of this application. 1 st Respondent encroached 145.81 square feet or 2 Gadies site and made construction therein, and its market value Rs. 97,200/-, 2 nd Respondent encroached 69 square feet or 1/3 rd Gadi and made construction therein its market value Rs. 46,000/- They all encroached bits of site separately out of the applicant's site. 3 rd Respondent is trying to encroach a part of site of the applicant on southern side. R4 high handedly removed applicants boundary stone on south-east corner, 4 th respondent is Municipal Corporation carted earth on the eastern side of afore said encroachments to a width of about 15 feet from West to East 20 feet length from south to north to lay a road for the respondents encroaches under the ruling political party influence.
15.	A concise statement of all relevant :	1 st Respondent encroached 145.81 square feet or 2 Gadies site and made construction of asbestos sheets shed therein, 2 nd Respondent encroached 69 square feet or 1/3 rd Gadi and made construction of asbestos sheets shed therein . They both encroached bits of site separately out of the applicant's site and they intend to use my site as passage, 3 rd Respondent is trying to encroach a part of site of the applicant on southern side. R3 high-handedly removed applicants' boundary stone on south-east corner of petition schedule site. 4 th respondent is Municipal Corporation carted earth on the eastern side of afore said encroachment to a width of about 15 feet from west to east and 20 feet length from south to north to lay a road for the respondents' encroachments under the ruling political party influence.

		<p>b) Schedule property originally belongs to Gaddam Kotamma W/o late Chenchaiyah. It is an extent of total 50 Gadies vacant site. Gaddam Kotamma sold the said property to Mulluri Venkaiah S/o Nagabhushanam under regd. Sale deed dt. 31-09-1970(doc.No. 1966/1970). Mulluri Venkaiah again sold away the same to One Shaik Naseema W/o Abdul Rajak Saheb under regd. Sale dated 09-05-1996(doc, No. 2057/1996) Again Shaik Naseema through her General Power attorney agent Battula Koteswara Rao sold the schedule property i.e 45 Gadies 60 square feet to the applicant under regd. Sale deed dt. 18.10.2006 (doc. No. 7521/2006) for valuable consideration. On the date of sale deed itself vendor Naseema put the applicant into possession and enjoyment of the petition schedule site. Thus the applicant has got right, title , possession and enjoyment over the petition schedule property. Schedule property is vacant site.</p> <p>c) A detailed plan showing the true physical feature of the site is herewith filed and it may be read as part of this application. The applicant is working as police constable in Srikalahasti. Applicants property is show as 'A' marked property is the petition Plan.</p> <p>1st respondent clames to have 'B' property i.e asbestos sheets shed on the west of petition schedule property, encroached 145.81 square feet and constructed asbestos sheets shed in my site and it is marked as E1, 2nd respondent claims to have 'C' property i.e. asbestos sheets shed on the west of petition schedule property, encroached 69 square feet and constructed asbestos sheets shed in my site and it is marked as E2 which are out of petition schedule property. 3rd respondent claim to have some site on the southern side of petition schedule site , sincerely trying to encroach the southern side part of the petition schedule site.. R3 high handedly removed applicant's boundary stone on south-east corner of petition schedule property. 4th respondent municipal corporation under the influence of the ruling political parties carted earth illegally in the site of the applicant on the soth and eastern side respectively of E1,E2, encroachments to a width of 15 feet from west to east and 20 feet length from south to north marked as E3 stating that it is going to lay road therein. My site in which R4 carted earth high-handedly to lay a road is shown as E3, in the Complaint plain. The respondents 1 to 4 have no right to encroach into the petition schedule site.</p>
--	--	--

		<p>They also made some structures in the encroached site illegally taking advantage of petitioners' absence in the schedule site. R4 carted earth to lay road on the eastern side of E series encroachments in the petitioner's schedule site in the absence of applicant at the schedule site. At that point of time the petitioner got the municipal surveyor deputed on his request letter with required challan to measure the petition; schedule property and encroachments. Municipal surveyor visited the petition schedule site on 28-07-2016 and 16-08- 2016 and measured the petition schedule site and noted the encroachments also with clear measurements in the presence of the respondents 1 to 3 Municipal surveyor had given the detailed sketch to the Municipal corporation, Ongole and a copy of it is herewith filed for kind perusal. Petition Plan is almost replica of the Municipal surveyor sketch. After visit of the Municipal surveyor R3 influenced the 4th respondent through ruling political party politicians and got started laying material for road in the exclusive site of applicant i.e abutting east of E series encroachments with an intention to form a road illegally, high handedly and without any manner of right. R4 has no right to enter into the applicant site as it has not acquired the applicant site under land acquisition act or the applicant has not donated or gifted any site out of the petition schedule site to R4. But R4 is dumping the men and machinery along with material illegally and highhandedly to lay a road in the petition schedule site abutting the south and east of E series encroachments.</p> <p>d) The applicant got issued a legal notice dated 02-01-2017. R4, The collector and District Magistrate(Spl. Officer, Municipal Corporation, Prakasam District , Ongole and also Municipal Engineer, Ongole Municipal Corporation, Ongole requesting them to stop all works of alleged road. Having received the legal notice, R6 or its authorities did not respond in positive way. Having no other alternative the applicant is constrained to file this application under the provisions of land Grabbing act.</p> <p>(e) <u>Court Fee:-</u> A Court Fee of Rs.is paid on Rs..... being the market value of suit schedule property under Rule-4 of A.P. Land Grabbing (Act), 1982.</p> <p>(f) <u>Prayer:-</u> I pray the Hon'ble Tribunal to pass an award in my favour as against the respondents:-</p>
--	--	---

		<p>(a) to direct the respondents 1,2 and R4 to deliver petition plan marked E1, E2, E3 marked portions to applicant after due eviction, failing which through process of law;</p> <p>(b) to direct the 3rd respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the applicant over the schedule property.</p> <p>(c) To direct the 4th respondent not to interfere with the applicant's petition schedule property by making any efforts to lay a road or in any way.</p> <p>(d) To award compensation of Rs. 50,00,000/- against R1, Rs.3,00,000 against R2 and 1,00,000/- against R3 for wrongful encroachment and possession of E1,E2, portions of properties respectively and removal of south west boundary stone in applicant's site and 5,00,000/- against R4 for high handedly carting earth in schedule site on abutting south and east of E1,E2 encroachments to lay a road without any manner of right.</p> <p>(e) To direct the respondents to pay the past and future profits acquired from the schedule property;</p> <p>(f) To pay costs of the application; and</p> <p>(g) To grant other just and proper reliefs in the circumstances of the case.</p>
16.	Summary of the evidence: proposed to be adduced	I will give evidence in support of my contention. I will also adduce some documentary and oral evidence in support of my contention. Names of my witness cannot be given now since there is apprehension of danger to the witnesses in the hands of respondents. List of witnesses will be submitted at the time of trial.
17.	The copies duly attested or the documents relied on	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. C.C of regd. Sale deed Dt. 9.5.1996 (doc. No. 2057/96) 2. CC of General Power of Attorney dt. 2/4/2005 (doc.No. 81/2005) 3. CC of regd. Sale deed 18-10-2006 (doc. No. 7521/2006) 4. Statement of E.C Dt. 5.1.2017 for 27 years from 1.1.1990 to 4.1.2017 5. Office copy of legal Notice Dt. 2.1.2017 6. Postal receipts (4) 7. Acknowledgements (4) 8. Notice Dated 21-07-2016 issued by the surveyor, Municipal Corporation. Ongole

		9. Notice Dated 10-08-2016 issued by the surveyor, Municipal Corporation, Ongole 10. Ground Sketch Dated 28-07-2016 issued by Surveyor, Municipal Corporation, Ongole 11. Endorsement Dated 06-09-2016 issued by Asst. City planner, Municipal Corporation, Ongole NB: some more documents will be filed at the time of trial.
18.	Other relevant particulars to identify the property	I have filed documents mentioned in column No. 17 in support of ,my contention and those documents are sufficient for identity of schedule property.
19.	A co-relation statement between the survey and sub-division of the last resurvey done and the previous survey	Nil
20.	Any other particulars which the applicant intended to furnish	I have furnished all partitucualrs in column-15

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge and belief that the information and particulars furnished above and its enclosures are full and complete and correct.

Sd/-.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Prakasam at Ongole.

**SPECIAL TRIBUNAL UNDER LAND GRABBING ACT
 (DISTRICT JUDGE, PRAKASAM) :: ONGOLE.
 L.G.O.P.No. 1/2017**

Between:

Gorantla Ramakrishna

... Applicant.

And

Kokkilagadda Nagamani and 3 others

... Respondents.

APPLICANT'S TOTAL SCHEDULE PROPERTY

Prakasam District, Ongole Municipality, Ongole Sub-Registration, 56/1 Ongole Rural, Balineni Bharat colony, Ongole Municipal Corporation- Vacant site an extent of total 45 Gadies 60 feet. Limits bounded:-

East : Road-this side 62 feet
South : site of others-this side 55 feet
West : Site of Others,- this side 55 feet
North : site sold by Patan Tajuddin to others- this side 55 feet

Above schedule is true to the best of my knowledge, belief and information.

Ongole,
Dt: 23-01-2017.

Sd/-.,
*Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Prakasam at Ongole.*

---X---